Effectiveness of 'Mois sans tabac 2016': A French social marketing campaign against smoking

Romain Guignard¹, Raphaël Andler¹, Jean-Baptiste Richard¹, Anne Pasquereau¹, Guillemette Quatremère¹, Pierre Arwidson¹, Karine Gallopel-Morvan², Viêt Nguyen-Thanh¹

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION In October 2016, the first edition of *Mois sans tabac* (Tobacco-Free Month) was launched, a campaign which had invited French smokers to challenge themselves to quit smoking for the whole month of November. We aimed to study the effectiveness of this social marketing intervention on quit attempts (QA) in the general French population, and to study possible differences according to sociodemographic characteristics.

METHODS This study used data from the 2017 Health Barometer survey, a random survey conducted by telephone on 25319 individuals. It included 6341 respondents who reported that they were daily smokers when the *Mois sans tabac* campaign was launched in 2016. The association between self-declared exposure to the campaign and making a QA has been studied using multivariate logistic regressions.

RESULTS Exposure to the 2016 *Mois sans tabac* campaign is associated with a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016 (AOR=1.32; 95% CI: 1.07–1.63, p<0.01), with a QA lasting at least 30 days (AOR=1.95; 95% CI: 1.31–2.91, p<0.001), and being abstinent at the time of the interview in 2017 (AOR=2.39; 95% CI: 1.37–4.15, p<0.01). A dose-effect relationship is observed between the frequency of exposure to the campaign and QA, which is mostly explained by the number of sources of exposure (television, radio, posters, the press, the internet and social networks). Although certain priority groups (e.g. manual workers, the unemployed) had poorer recall of the campaign than other groups, the impact of self-reported exposure to the campaign on QA in unemployed people or those with less than high school educational level appears to have been greater.

conclusions These analyses suggest the effectiveness of the 2016 *Mois sans tabac* intervention, in a context of strengthening public tobacco control policies in France, which may have contributed to the drop in smoking observed between 2016 and 2019.

AFFILIATION

1 Prevention and Health Promotion Department, Santé publique France, the French National Public Health Agency, Saint-Maurice, France 2 École des Hautes Études en Santé Publique, Rennes, France

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Romain Guignard. Santé publique France, the French National Public Health Agency, 12 Rue du Val d'Osne, 94 415 Saint-Maurice Cedex, France. E-mail: romain.guignard@ santepubliquefrance.fr

KEYWORDS

tobacco, smoking cessation, quit attempt, social marketing intervention, effectiveness

Received: 22 January 2021 Revised: 9 April 2021 Accepted: 15 June 2021

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2021;19(July):60

https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/139028

INTRODUCTION

Tobacco use kills over seven million people every year worldwide. In Europe, it is responsible for 16% of all deaths in adults¹. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) is a response to this epidemic, providing various support tools to develop effective tobacco control policies². One of these tools are mass-media campaigns, as proposed in Article 12

of the FCTC, which calls for the use of all available communication tools to promote and strengthen public awareness of tobacco-control issues. In general, massmedia campaigns to encourage smoking cessation are effective as part of comprehensive tobacco control programmes³⁻⁸. Another tool proposed in Article 14 of the FCTC is the design and implementation of effective programs aimed at promoting the cessation

of tobacco use and providing treatment of tobacco dependence and counselling services in a variety of organizations (i.e. educational institutions, healthcare facilities, workplaces, etc.).

In 2016, Santé publique France, the French National Public Health agency, launched the first edition of a large-scale annual social marketing campaign to create a 30-day quit attempt (QA) challenge for smokers in the month of November, named *Mois sans tabac* (Tobacco-Free Month). This intervention was inspired by the British *Stoptober* campaign, which led to 350000 additional QA (95% CI: 80000–630000) in its first edition in October 2012°, and used a new social marketing approach (branding, call to behavior change, promotion of cessation services etc.) for the promotion of smoking cessation in France¹⁰.

Although many studies have evaluated the effectiveness of anti-smoking campaigns focusing on health hazards and negative emotional messages^{4,6}, few have investigated the impact of interventions based on positive and encouraging messages^{9,11,12}. Furthermore, most published articles only deal with the evaluation of mass-media campaigns^{9,13,14}. Little research has been conducted on the evaluation of global social marketing interventions that include a media component¹⁵.

This article aimed to document the effectiveness of *Mois sans tabac* 2016 edition on QA, in the wider context of France's tobacco control program, and to study possible differences in QA according to sociodemographic characteristics.

METHODS

Description of the intervention

The goal of *Mois sans tabac* is to encourage smokers to quit smoking for 30 days. Smoking cessation withdrawal symptoms have been shown to dramatically decrease within this timeframe, leading to a five-fold greater chance of permanent quitting¹⁶. The campaign especially targets smokers aged 20–49 years, as this is the sub-population with the highest smoking prevalence in France, and smokers with a low socioeconomic status, as social inequalities in health related to smoking are particularly present in France¹⁷.

The intervention combines multi-channel communication (television, radio, posters, internet, social networks), free smoking cessation support services (through a telephone hotline '3989', a dedicated website *tabac-info-service.fr*, an e-coaching application for mobile phones, and a smoking cessation support kit), as well as local actions to raise awareness about and support for smoking cessation (overseen by regional health agencies) in healthcare establishments, the workplace, and other sites.

The *Mois sans tabac* campaign comprises 3 phases over 3 months:

- September: promotion of the campaign to healthcare professionals (letters, advertisements in the professional press, digital content, etc.)
- October: general public media-based recruitment campaign, aimed at encouraging smokers to make a QA and to register on the site https://mois-sanstabac.tabac-info-service.fr
- November: the challenge itself, with support being given to smokers who make a QA.

In 2016, 180113 people registered online for the campaign. A more complete description of the social marketing campaign is available elsewhere 10,18.

Data collection

Health Barometer is a large annual random phone survey on health behaviors and perceptions of people living in France set up by Santé publique France¹⁹. The 2017 fieldwork was conducted by the survey firm Ipsos between 5 January and 18 July on 25319 people aged 18–75 years. The participation rate was 48.5%. The present study and analyses are based on data from the 2017 edition, which collected data on multiple topics including substance use (tobacco, alcohol, illicit drugs), mental health, physical activity, and vaccination.

Variables

Smoking status

Smoking status at the time of the questionnaire (i.e. 2017) was obtained with three questions: 'Do you smoke tobacco, even if only occasionally?'. Those who answered 'yes' to the first question were then asked: 'Do you smoke every day?' and 'How many cigarettes (manufactured or roll-your-own) do you smoke on average?'. Respondents who reported either that they smoked every day (second question) or that they smoked a certain number of cigarettes per day (third question) were classified as daily smokers in 2017.

Smokers reporting not smoking every day (second question) and reporting a number of cigarettes per week, month or year (third question) were not included in the analysis, even though their average consumption was at least one cigarette per day.

Non-smokers (i.e. those replying 'no' to the first question) were asked: 'In your lifetime, have you ever tried smoking tobacco?' and if they answered 'yes', they were then asked, 'Did you smoke just once or twice, just to try it, or occasionally or daily for less than 6 months, or daily for more than 6 months?'. Former daily smokers were asked when exactly they quit smoking. Those who declared they had quit 12 months or less prior to the survey were asked the month and year they quit. These data enabled us to recode ex-smokers who quit smoking after 1 October 2016 as smokers at the time of the launch of the first *Mois sans tabac* campaign in 2016.

In the remainder of this article, the analyzed study sample corresponds to people who smoked daily at the launch of *Mois sans tabac* in 2016 (n=6341), comprising either self-reported daily smokers in 2017 (n=5968), or ex-daily smokers in 2017 who quit smoking after 1 October 2016 (n=373). For the present study, we hypothesized that the proportion of people who started smoking after *Mois sans tabac* 2016 among daily smokers at the time of the survey was negligible, given that our study sample included those aged 18–75 years.

Among smokers in 2017, tobacco dependence was measured using the Heaviness of Smoking Index (HSI)²⁰, and two questions asked respondents to indicate on a scale 0–10 how important they felt smoking cessation was, and on a similar scale their confidence in their own ability to quit.

Main outcomes

The present study's primary dependent variable, was defined a priori as a quit attempt (QA) of at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016, among daily smokers at the time of the launch of the Mois sans tabac campaign.

To measure this, those classified as daily smokers in 2017 were asked: 'Did you try to quit smoking for at least 24 hours in the last quarter of 2016, i.e. between October and December?'. Former smokers who quit smoking in the final quarter of 2016 were included in the group of those who made a QA. Those who

reported a quit date after January 2017, were asked if they had already made a QA in the final quarter of 2016.

Data on the duration of QA were collected. These enabled us to study QA of at least 7 days and at least 30 days. Finally, we estimated the proportion of smokers who made an attempt to quit in the final quarter of 2016 and who were abstinent for at least 7 days at the time of the survey in 2017.

Exposure variables

The level of exposure to the *Mois sans tabac* campaign was collected using the questions 'During the final quarter of 2016, the first *Mois sans tabac* campaign took place. Have you heard about it, whether on TV, on the radio, on the internet, in the press or through another channel?' ('ever exposure'). Those who replied 'yes' were then asked, 'How often did you see or hear about the *Mois sans tabac* campaign?' (1: Several times a day, 2: Once a day, 3: Several times a week, 4: Once a week, 5: Less than once a week). The frequency of exposure was recoded as daily/weekly/less than weekly/never.

More detailed data on the different sources of exposure were also collected, allowing a number of sources of exposure to be calculated from television, radio, posters, print, internet and social media.

Sociodemographic variables

The sociodemographic variables considered in the analyses are sex, age, size of urban area of residence, educational level, professional situation (working; unemployed, looking for a job; inactive), and socioprofessional category, either of the respondents themselves (current professional position for employed persons and last occupation for retired and unemployed respondents) or of the head of the household for other inactive persons (e.g. students, persons with disability).

Statistical power calculation

The statistical power calculation was based on the following assumptions:

- 1. A sample size for the 2017 Health Barometer between 15000 and 30000 respondents;
- 2. A daily smoking prevalence of 28.2% (as observed in the 2014 Health Barometer), which corresponded to a sample of 4230 to 8500 daily smokers for the

planned analysis, depending on the final sample size of the Health Barometer;

- 3. A QA rate of 10% during the final quarter of 2016 in individuals not exposed to the *Mois sans tabac* campaign (as observed for the rate of QA in the final quarter lasting at least 7 days observed in the 2010 Health Barometer²¹, unpublished data); and
- 4. A self-reported campaign exposure rate of 80% (as observed in the French nationwide *Messages d'adieux* (Farewell Messages) smoking cessation campaign in 2014)²².

Based on an estimated sample of 4230 smokers, a difference of 4 percentage points in QA rate could be detected in the group of people exposed to the campaign, using the Casagrande and Pike formula²³, with an α =0.05 (for a type I error) and 1- β =0.20 (for a type II error). Based on an estimated sample of 8500 smokers, a difference of 2–3 percentage points could be detected.

Statistical analysis

Bivariate analyses were performed between sociodemographic characteristics, self-reported campaign exposure, QA in the final quarter of 2016, and smoking abstinence in 2017. Differences were tested using Pearson's chi-squared test with the second-order Rao-Scott correction.

The sociodemographic factors associated with selfreported campaign exposure were estimated using multivariate logistic regression. For each outcome (24-hour QA, 7-day QA, 30-day QA, 7-day abstinence in 2017), three separate logistic regressions were conducted. The associations between ever exposure/ frequency of exposure (see above) and each outcome were established from two separate logistic regressions adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics. The number of sources of exposure (as a continuous variable) was introduced into a third model, adjusted for sex, age, educational level, professional status, socio-professional category, size of urban area of residence and self-reported frequency of exposure to the Mois sans tabac campaign. For the primary effectiveness outcome, analyses were stratified according to sociodemographic characteristics and interactions were tested.

To take into account possible bias due to selfreported recall of the campaign that could be linked to respondents' motivation to quit smoking or quit attempt behavior, sensitivity analyses on the main effectiveness outcome were conducted on the subpopulation of daily smokers in 2017 (94% of the whole sample), by adjusting models for tobacco dependence, the importance they gave to stopping smoking (on a scale 0–10), and their level of confidence in their own ability to quit (on a scale 0–10). These adjustment variables were not available for former smokers at the time of the interview (6% of the whole sample) thus it was not possible to conduct the same analysis for them.

Estimated percentages were first weighted using inverse probability weighting, then adjusted by post-stratification weighting for sex according to age (in 10-year increments), region of residence, size of urban area of residence, number of people in household, and educational level of the resident population in mainland France (Reference population: 2016 National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies, INSEE, employment survey).

RESULTS

A description of the sample of daily smokers at the launch of the *Mois sans tabac* campaign in 2016 is shown in Table 1. In the full sample, 54.1% were males, 56.9% were aged 25–49 years, 56.0% had less than high school diploma, 14.9% were unemployed but looking for a job and 31.8% were blue-collar workers. Among smokers at the time of interview in 2017, 43.6% were minimally dependent smokers (HSI=0-1), 38.0% were moderately dependent (HSI=2-3) and 18.4% were highly dependent (HSI=4-6).

Self-reported exposure to the campaign and associated factors

Among smokers at the launch of *Mois sans tabac* 2016, 83.3% said in 2017 they had heard of the campaign, more often women (87.7%) than men (79.5%, AOR=1.63; 95% CI: 1.39–1.91, p<0.001); 40.7% said they had heard of it on a daily basis, 32.4% on a weekly basis, while 9.8% had heard of it less than once per week.

Self-reported exposure to the campaign increased with age. Unemployed persons looking for a job (76.3%, AOR=0.67; 95% CI: 0.55–0.82 compared with the employed, p<0.001) and manual workers (80.3%,

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample of daily smokers at the time of the launch of the 2016 'Mois sans tabac' campaign (N=6341)

| Characteristics | Unweighted n | Weighted % | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Sex | | | |
| Men | 3267 | 54.1 | |
| Women | 3074 | 45.9 | |
| Age (years) | | | |
| 18-24 | 711 | 13.2 | |
| 25-49 | 3365 | 56.9 | |
| ≥50 | 2265 | 29.9 | |
| Educational level | | | |
| <high-school diploma<="" td=""><td>2786</td><td>56.0</td></high-school> | 2786 | 56.0 | |
| High-school diploma | 1515 | 20.9 | |
| >High-school diploma | 2029 | 23.1 | |
| Professional status | | | |
| Working | 3964 | 60.3 | |
| Unemployed | 752 | 14.9 | |
| Inactive | 1625 | 24.9 | |
| Socio-professional category | | | |
| Farmer, craftsperson, trader or business manager (independent professional) | 489 | 8.0 | |
| Executive or higher intellectual professional | 785 | 8.9 | |
| Intermediate professional | 1621 | 21.7 | |
| Employee | 1771 | 29.6 | |
| Blue-collar worker | 1613 | 31.8 | |
| Size of area of residence (inhabitants) | | | |
| Rural | 1622 | 22.6 | |
| <20000 | 1126 | 17.8 | |
| 20000-99999 | 802 | 12.2 | |
| 100000-199999 | 390 | 5.4 | |
| ≥200000 | 1497 | 27.9 | |
| Paris area | 890 | 14.0 | |
| Among smokers in 2017 | | | |
| Heaviness of smoking index | | | |
| 0–1 | 2756 | 43.6 | |
| 2-3 | 2228 | 38.0 | |
| 4–6 | 933 | 18.4 | |
| Importance of quitting smoking (0-10), mean ± SD | 6.45 ± 2.98 | | |
| Self-confidence in quitting (0–10), mean ± SD | 5.65 <u>+</u> | 2.91 | |

Executive or higher intellectual professionals include senior executives in civil service, corporate administrative and commercial executives, liberal professionals, third-level teachers/professors, engineers, etc. Intermediate professionals include school teachers, middle-managers, executive officers in the civil service, technicians, etc. Employees include administrative officers in the civil service, administrative or commercial employees, service industry employees, soldiers, etc.

AOR=0.76; 95% CI: 0.60-0.95 compared with middle-managers, p<0.05), were less likely to report hearing about the campaign (Table 2).

The sensitivity analysis showed that among daily smokers in 2017, after controlling for tobacco dependence, the importance they gave to quitting, and the level of confidence they had in their own

Table 2. Factors associated with ever exposure to 'Mois sans tabac', among daily smokers when the campaign was launched in 2016 (N=6341)

| Characteristics | % | AOR | 95% CI |
|---|------|---------|-----------|
| Sex | *** | | |
| Men (Ref.) | 79.5 | 1 | |
| Women | 87.7 | 1.63*** | 1.39-1.91 |
| Age (years) | ××× | | |
| 18–24 (Ref.) | 78.4 | 1 | |
| 25-49 | 81.0 | 1.23 | 0.98-1.54 |
| ≥50 | 89.7 | 2.33*** | 1.81-2.98 |
| Educational level | | | |
| <high-school (ref.)<="" diploma="" td=""><td>82.6</td><td>1</td><td></td></high-school> | 82.6 | 1 | |
| High-school diploma | 84.3 | 1.15 | 0.95-1.39 |
| >High-school diploma | 84.6 | 1.08 | 0.88-1.32 |
| Professional status | *** | | |
| Working (Ref.) | 83.7 | 1 | |
| Unemployed | 76.3 | 0.67*** | 0.55-0.82 |
| Inactive | 86.4 | 1.18 | 0.96-1.45 |
| Socio-professional category | ××× | | |
| Farmer, craftsperson, trader or business manager (independent professional) | 78.3 | 0.57*** | 0.43-0.75 |
| Executive or higher intellectual professional | 82.8 | 0.73* | 0.57-0.94 |
| Intermediate professional (Ref.) | 87.3 | 1 | |
| Employee | 85.9 | 0.90 | 0.72-1.13 |
| Blue-collar worker | 80.3 | 0.76* | 0.60-0.95 |
| Size of area of residence (inhabitants) | ××× | | |
| Rural (Ref.) | 88.6 | 1 | |
| <20000 | 85.7 | 0.82 | 0.65-1.05 |
| 20000-99999 | 79.9 | 0.67** | 0.52-0.86 |
| 100000-199999 | 81.0 | 0.68* | 0.49-0.94 |
| ≥200000 | 82.4 | 0.70** | 0.56-0.87 |
| Paris area | 77.3 | 0.49*** | 0.39-0.63 |

AOR: adjusted odds ratio. Ref: reference category in the logistic regression model. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. Percentages of respondents who recalled Mois sans tabac and p for bivariate analyses between sociodemographic variables and recall of Mois sans tabac, adjusted odds ratios from logistic regression including all variables in the table. Executive or higher intellectual professionals include senior executives in civil service, corporate administrative and commercial executives, liberal professionals, third-level teachers/professors, engineers, etc. Intermediate professionals include school teachers, middle-managers, executive officers in the civil service, technicians, etc. Employees include administrative officers in the civil service, administrative or commercial employees, service industry employees, soldiers, etc.

ability to quit, the findings for the sociodemographic variables explored were similar to those observed among respondents who were categorized as smokers at the time of the launch of the campaign in 2016. Moderately dependent smokers (HSI=2-3) were more likely to have heard of the campaign (85.3%, AOR=1.30; 95% CI: 1.09-1.54 compared with minimally dependent smokers HSI=0-1, p<0.01). Respondents' confidence in their own ability to stop smoking was negatively associated with hearing about the campaign (AOR=0.95; 95% CI: 0.92-0.98 per each additional unit) (Supplementary file, Table S1).

The average number of exposure sources was 2.3. More specifically, 14.9% of smokers reported hearing about *Mois sans tabac* through one media channel, 20.8% two channels, 19.0% three channels, 14.4% four channels, 7.7% five channels, and 3.7% through six channels.

Quit attempts and smoking status in 2017 according to exposure to the campaign

Analysis according to socioeconomic characteristics and ever exposure

Among respondents who were daily smokers at the launch of Mois sans tabac 2016, 15.9% made a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016, 4.9% made a QA lasting at least 30 days, and 2.8% were abstinent for at least 7 days at the time they were interviewed in 2017 (i.e. 17.8% of those who made a QA in the final quarter of 2016). No significant difference was observed between both sexes. People aged 18-24 years were more likely to have made a QA – irrespective of the duration – but not more likely to be abstinent in 2017. Smokers with an educational level higher than high-school diploma, as well as those belonging to the French socio-professional 'Executive or higher intellectual profession' category (which includes senior civil servants, administrative and commercial executives, liberal professionals, third-level teachers/professors among others) were also more likely to have attempted quitting in the last quarter of 2016 and to be abstinent in 2017 (Table 3). Unemployed respondents looking for a job were as likely as employed persons to have tried quitting for at least 24 hours, but after adjusting for other sociodemographic characteristics, they were less likely to have made a QA lasting at least 7 days (AOR=0.71; 95% CI: 0.53-0.97, p<0.05) and to be abstinent in 2017 (AOR=0.54; 95% CI: 0.30–0.96, p<0.05). No association was observed between QA in the final quarter of 2016 or smoking cessation in 2017 and the size of the area of residence (Table 3).

Analyses highlighted an association between self-reported ever exposure to *Mois sans tabac*, assessed in 2017, and: 1) making a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016 (AOR=1.32; 95% CI: 1.07–1.63, p<0.01); 2) a QA lasting at least 7 days (AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.23–2.14, p<0.001); 3) a QA lasting at least 30 days (AOR=1.95; 95% CI: 1.31–2.91, p<0.001); and 4) abstinence from smoking for at least 7 days at the time of the survey in 2017 (AOR=2.39; 95% CI: 1.37–4.15, p<0.01).

Among daily smokers in 2017, after adjusting for tobacco dependence and their attitudes towards quitting smoking, the association between exposure to the campaign and making a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016 remained significant (AOR=1.26; 95% CI: 1.01–1.59, p<0.05). Making a QA was associated with low tobacco dependence (AOR=0.51; 95% CI: 0.43–0.61 for HSI=2–3 and AOR=0.46; 95% CI: 0.35–0.60 for HSI=4–6 compared with HSI=0–1), importance of quitting smoking (AOR=1.14; 95% CI: 1.11–1.18 per each additional unit) and self-confidence in quitting (AOR=1.06; 95% CI: 1.03–1.09) (Supplementary file Table S2).

Analysis according to frequency of exposure and number of exposure sources

Analysis by frequency of exposure showed that odds ratios associated with QA increased with frequency of exposure. Accordingly, after adjustment for sociodemographic characteristics, the probability of having made a QA in the final quarter of 2016 or of being abstinent in 2017 was highest for daily exposure to the *Mois sans tabac* campaign, as assessed in 2017. Weekly exposure to the campaign was also associated with making a QA or cessation in 2017, but to a lesser extent (Table 3).

Among smokers in 2017, after adjusting for tobacco dependence and their attitudes towards quitting smoking, only daily exposure to the campaign remained significantly associated with making a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016 (AOR=1.39; 95% CI: 1.09-1.77, p<0.01) (Supplementary file Table S2).

The association between frequency of exposure and

Table 3. Factors associated with quit attempts (QA) in the final quarter of 2016 and with smoking abstinence of at least 7 days in 2017, among daily smokers at the time of the launch of the 2016 'Mois sans tabac' campaign: sociodemographic factors, exposure to the campaign, frequency and number of exposure sources (N=6341)

| Characteristics | | | QA | | 7-day (| QA | 30-day QA | | Smoking abstinence in 2017 | | | |
|---|------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|-----|-------|-----------|
| | % | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men (Ref.) (n=3267) | 16.4 | 1 | | 9.7 | 1 | | 5.1 | 1 | | 3.0 | 1 | |
| Women (n=3074) | 15.3 | 0.99 | 0.86-1.15 | 8.9 | 1.03 | 0.86-1.23 | 4.6 | 1.03 | 0.82-1.31 | 2.6 | 0.97 | 0.72-1.31 |
| Age (years) | *** | | | *** | | | | | | | | |
| 18-24 (Ref.) (n=711) | 24.2 | 1 | | 14.3 | 1 | | 5.4 | 1 | | 2.4 | 1 | |
| 25-49 (n=3365) | 15.3 | 0.54*** | 0.43-0.66 | 9.1 | 0.52*** | 0.40-0.67 | 5.00 | 0.65* | 0.45-0.93 | 3.1 | 1.05 | 0.63-1.74 |
| ≥50 (n=2265) | 13.5 | 0.53*** | 0.42-0.65 | 7.6 | 0.53*** | 0.40-0.69 | 4.4 | 0.70 | 0.48-1.01 | 2.5 | 0.86 | 0.51-1.45 |
| Educational level | *** | | | *** | | | * | | | ** | | |
| <high-school diploma (Ref.) (n=2786)</high-school | 14.0 | 1 | | 7.7 | 1 | | 4.2 | 1 | | 2.3 | 1 | |
| High-school diploma (n=1515) | 17.3 | 1.07 | 0.89-1.28 | 10.6 | 1.16 | 0.92-1.46 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 0.81-1.50 | 2.6 | 1.03 | 0.69-1.54 |
| >High-school diploma (n=2029) | 19.4 | 1.31** | 1.09-1.58 | 12.00 | 1.46** | 1.16-1.84 | 6.3 | 1.51** | 1.12-2.04 | 4.2 | 1.50* | 1.02-2.20 |
| Professional status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Working (Ref.) (n=3964) | 15.5 | 1 | | 9.6 | 1 | | 5.3 | 1 | | 3.2 | 1 | |
| Unemployed (n=752) | 17.4 | 1.00 | 0.80-1.24 | 8.1 | 0.71* | 0.53-0.97 | 3.9 | 0.68 | 0.45-1.02 | 2.2 | 0.54* | 0.30-0.96 |
| Inactive (n=1625) | 16.1 | 0.91 | 0.76-1.09 | 9.4 | 0.87 | 0.70-1.08 | 4.5 | 0.81 | 0.61-1.07 | 2.4 | 0.81 | 0.55-1.19 |
| Socio-professional category | *** | | | *** | | | *** | | | * | | |
| Farmer, craftsperson, trader or business manager (independent professional) (n=489) | 12.4 | 0.82 | 0.61–1.11 | 7.4 | 0.87 | 0.60-1.25 | 3.3 | 0.94 | 0.58-1.51 | 2.1 | 0.76 | 0.40-1.45 |
| Executive or higher intellectual professional (n=785) | 23.4 | 1.26* | 1.01-1.56 | 16.7 | 1.46** | 1.13-1.89 | 9.7 | 1.57** | 1.13-2.19 | 5.3 | 1.48 | 0.97-2.24 |
| Intermediate professional (Ref.) (n=1621) | 16.8 | 1 | | 9.3 | 1 | | 4.3 | 1 | | 2.7 | 1 | |
| Employee (n=1771) | 14.4 | 0.91 | 0.75-1.11 | 8.3 | 0.94 | 0.74-1.20 | 4.4 | 1.01 | 0.74-1.40 | 2.6 | 1.04 | 0.70-1.56 |
| Blue-collar worker (n=1613) | 15.3 | 0.93 | 0.75-1.16 | 8.5 | 0.92 | 0.70-1.20 | 4.7 | 0.96 | 0.67-1.38 | 2.6 | 0.84 | 0.52-1.34 |
| Size of area of residence (inhabitants) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural (Ref.) (n=1622) | 14.3 | 1 | | 7.9 | 1 | | 4.8 | 1 | | 3.1 | 1 | |
| <20000 (n=1126) | 14.4 | 0.95 | 0.76-1.18 | 8.9 | 1.04 | 0.80-1.36 | 4.9 | 1.01 | 0.72-1.41 | 2.5 | 1.03 | 0.67-1.58 |
| 20000-99999 (n=802) | 14.8 | 1.03 | 0.81-1.31 | 9.3 | 1.21 | 0.91-1.60 | 5.1 | 1.10 | 0.76-1.58 | 2.9 | 1.09 | 0.68-1.75 |
| 100000-199999 (n=390) | 17.0 | 1.00 | 0.74-1.37 | 10.3 | 1.00 | 0.68-1.47 | 4.4 | 0.80 | 0.47-1.35 | 2.7 | 0.69 | 0.34-1.42 |
| \geq 200000 (n=1497) | 17.4 | 1.14 | 0.94-1.39 | 10.1 | 1.14 | 0.90-1.45 | 4.6 | 0.88 | 0.64-1.21 | 2.3 | 0.90 | 0.59-1.35 |
| Paris area (n=890) | 18.3 | 1.03 | 0.82-1.29 | 10.5 | 0.88 | 0.66-1.18 | 5.5 | 0.79 | 0.54-1.15 | 4.0 | 1.01 | 0.64-1.59 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Continued

Table 3. Continued

| Characteristics | | 24-hour | QA | | 7-day (| QA | | 30-day | QA | Smol | king abst 2017 | inence in |
|--|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|-----------|-----|---------|-----------|------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI | | AOR | 95% CI |
| Had heard of Mois sans tabac ^a | | | | * | | | ** | | | *** | | |
| No (Ref.) (n=937) | 13.6 | 1 | | 6.8 | 1 | | 2.9 | 1 | | 1.1 | 1 | |
| Yes (n=5404) | 16.4 | 1.32** | 1.07-1.63 | 9.8 | 1.62*** | 1.23-2.14 | 5.3 | 1.95*** | 1.31-2.91 | 3.2 | 2.39** | 1.37-4.15 |
| Frequency of exposure to <i>Mois</i> sans tabac ^a | | | | | | | * | | | ** | | |
| Never (Ref.) (n=937) | 13.6 | 1 | | 6.8 | 1 | | 2.9 | 1 | | 1.1 | 1 | |
| Less than weekly (n=610) | 14.7 | 1.02 | 0.76-1.38 | 9.2 | 1.26 | 0.86-1.86 | 5.6 | 1.71* | 1.02-2.89 | 4.1 | 2.12* | 1.06-4.25 |
| Weekly (n=2057) | 17.5 | 1.32* | 1.06-1.66 | 10.0 | 1.59** | 1.18-2.14 | 5.3 | 1.89** | 1.24-2.88 | 3.0 | 2.33** | 1.30-4.17 |
| Daily (n=2561) | 16.1 | 1.43** | 1.15-1.79 | 10.0 | 1.80*** | 1.34-2.41 | 5.2 | 2.10*** | 1.38-3.18 | 3.1 | 2.50** | 1.40-4.44 |
| Number of exposure sources (continuous) ^b | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1.10*** | 1.04-1.16 | | 1.09** | 1.02-1.17 | | 1.13** | 1.04-1.23 | | 1.21*** | 1.09-1.34 |

AOR: adjusted odds ratio. QA: quit attempt. Ref: reference category in the logistic regression model. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. Percentages of QA and smoking abstinence in 2017 and p-values for bivariate analyses between sociodemographic variables and quit attempts or smoking abstinence in 2017, adjusted odds ratios from logistic regressions. Executive or higher intellectual professionals include senior executives in civil service, corporate administrative and commercial executives, liberal professionals, third-level teachers/professors, engineers, etc. Intermediate professionals include school teachers, middle-managers, executive officers in the civil service, technicians, etc. Employees include administrative officers in the civil service, administrative or commercial employees, service industry employees, soldiers, etc. a Results of the logistic model after adjustment for sex, age, educational level, professional status, socio-professional category, size of the area of residence and exposure frequency to the Mois sans tabac campaign.

QA or smoking cessation was no longer significant after adding the number of sources of exposure to the main models. In contrast, the association between QA or cessation and the number of sources of exposure was significant (24-hour QA: AOR=1.10; 95% CI: 1.04–1.16, p<0.001; 7-day QA: AOR=1.09; 95% CI: 1.02–1.17, p=0.008; 30-day QA: AOR=1.13; 95% CI: 1.04–1.23, p=0.004; abstinent for 7 days in 2017: AOR=1.21; 95% CI: 1.09 –1.34, p<0.001).

Interactions between exposure to the campaign and sociodemographic characteristics

There was no statistically significant interaction between exposure to the campaign and sociodemographic characteristics (Table 4). Nevertheless, a stronger association between exposure and a QA lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016 was observed for women (AOR=1.65; 95% CI: 1.14–2.37, p<0.01), people aged <50 years (18–24 years: AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 0.97–2.73, not significant; 25–49 years: AOR=1.32; 95% CI: 1.01–1.73, p<0.05), smokers with an education level lower than high-school diploma (AOR=1.64; 95% CI: 1.15–2.33,

Table 4. Associations between self-reported exposure to the 2016 'Mois sans tabac' campaign and making a quit attempt lasting at least 24 hours in the final quarter of 2016, among daily smokers at the time of the launch of the campaign, according to sociodemographic characteristics

| Characteristics | AOR | 95% CI | p for interaction |
|---|--------|-----------|----------------------|
| Sex | | | 0.122 |
| Men (n=3267) | 1.19 | 0.92-1.53 | |
| Women (n=3074) | 1.65** | 1.14-2.37 | |
| Age (years) | | | 0.471 |
| 18-24 (n=711) | 1.62 | 0.97-2.73 | |
| 25-49 (n=3365) | 1.32* | 1.01-1.73 | |
| ≥50 (n=2265) | 1.15 | 0.75-1.76 | |
| Educational level | | | 0.261 |
| <high-school diploma<br="">(n=2786)</high-school> | 1.64** | 1.15-2.33 | |
| High-school diploma (n=1515) | 1.07 | 0.71-1.62 | |
| >High-school diploma (n=2029) | 1.22 | 0.87-1.71 | |

Continued

Table 4. Continued

| Characteristics | AOR | 95% CI | p for |
|--|-------|-----------|-------------|
| CHaracteristics | AON | | interaction |
| Professional status | | | 0.594 |
| Working (n=3964) | 1.24 | 0.96-1.60 | |
| Unemployed (n=752) | 1.85* | 1.06-3.23 | |
| Inactive (n=1625) | 1.29 | 0.80-2.08 | |
| Socio-professional category | | | 0.587 |
| Farmer, craftsperson, trader or business manager (independent professional) (n=489) | 1.08 | 0.54-2.15 | |
| Executive or higher intellectual professional (n=785) | 1.33 | 0.80-2.20 | |
| Intermediate professional (n=1621) | 1.43 | 0.91-2.22 | |
| Employee (n=1771) | 1.64* | 1.03-2.61 | |
| Blue-collar worker (n=1613) | 1.10 | 0.75-1.60 | |
| Size of area of residence (inhabitants) | | | 0.408 |
| Rural (n=1622) | 1.35 | 0.83-2.19 | |
| <20000 (n=1126) | 1.69 | 0.96-2.96 | |
| 20000-99999 (n=802) | 1.63 | 0.89-2.99 | |
| 100000-199999 (n=390) | 0.68 | 0.32-1.44 | |
| ≥200000 (n=1497) | 1.59* | 1.04-2.41 | |
| Paris area (n=890) | 1.07 | 0.68-1.68 | |

AOR: adjusted odds ratio. *p<0.05; **p<0.01. Each odds ratio corresponds to a different logistic regression in which the dependent variable is a guit attempt lasting at least 24 hours in the final guarter of 2016 and the independent variable is ever exposure to the campaign, in the specific subgroup. The models were adjusted for all other characteristics of the table. The interactions between self-reported ever exposure to the campaign and each of the sociodemographic characteristics were tested in separate models, adjusted for all other characteristics of the table. For example, in men, the odds ratio associated with exposure to the campaign was 1.19 (0.92-1.53) (not significant). In women, it was 1.64 (1.14-2.37) (p<0.01). The interaction between gender and exposure to the campaign was not significant (p=0.122). Executive or higher intellectual professionals include senior executives in civil service, corporate administrative and commercial executives, liberal professionals, third-level teachers/ professors, engineers, etc. Intermediate professionals include school teachers, middlemanagers executive officers in the civil service technicians etc Employees include administrative officers in the civil service, administrative or commercial employees, service industry employees, soldiers, etc

p<0.01), unemployed respondents looking for a job (AOR=1.85; 95% CI: 1.06–3.23, p<0.05) and the French 'employees' socio-professional category (which includes lower level civil service, administrative, commercial and service employees) (AOR=1.64; 95% CI: 1.03–2.61, p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

Summary of results and interpretations

This study highlighted an association between

exposure to the first edition of the French *Mois sans tabac* smoking cessation campaign in 2016 (self-reported in 2017) and making a QA in the final quarter of that year. For some respondents, these QA lasted beyond the recognized critical withdrawal period of 30 days. Furthermore, a dose-effect relationship between exposure frequency and QA is observed, which is partly explained by the number of sources of campaign exposure. Accordingly, it would appear that the variety of distribution channels used, and the fact that diverse populations were reached, were both success factors for the intervention.

The strength of the association between exposure and QA observed in this indirect analysis is consistent with that measured from the association made by the respondents themselves with the 2016 *Mois sans tabac* intervention: previous analyses estimated 380000 QA linked to the intervention out of a total of 2 million reported QA in France for the last quarter of 2016, corresponding to an increase of 20–25% in the overall number of QA (i.e. related to *Mois sans tabac* or not)²⁴.

However, the impact suggested in this study does not take into account the long-term changes in smoking behaviors brought about by an intervention of this size, especially when it is repeated. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of media campaigns would quickly diminish within 2 to 3 months after they end, due to the addictive nature of tobacco and the many socioenvironmental influences that encourage smoking^{5,6,25}.

The results according to socioeconomic level were quite mixed. On the one hand, certain priority target populations - specifically blue-collar workers and unemployed workers - seemed to have been less exposed to the campaign, or at least to have a poorer recall of it. On the other hand, the association between exposure to *Mois sans tabac* and QA seems to be greater for unemployed smokers and for women, younger people (aged 18-49 years), smokers with an educational level below high-school diploma, and workers in the French 'employee' socio-professional category (see above), even though the interactions were not significant. Previously published preliminary results on the effectiveness of Mois sans tabac 2016, based on self-reported associations between QA and the intervention, did not show any difference in the overall impact of socioeconomic status on QA²⁴. Beyond the specific relationship with *Mois* sans tabac intervention, the rates of QA in the final quarter of 2016 were higher among those with the highest socioeconomic status (specifically, those with an educational level above high-school diploma and those belonging to the 'executive or higher intellectual profession' socio-professional category). This result goes against previous studies conducted in France and elsewhere that indicated that QA was as frequent among people with a low socioeconomic status as in people with a higher status. However, the latter were more likely to succeed²⁶. Monitoring of QA according to socioeconomic level should therefore be continued.

The negative association observed in smokers in 2017 between exposure to the campaign and their level of confidence in being able to quit smoking can be interpreted in two ways: smokers declaring themselves very confident about their chances of success if they tried to quit smoking may have paid less attention to public health messages; conversely, people more exposed to these messages but who were not able to quit may have lost confidence in their chance of success. The evaluation of the French nationwide Messages d'adieux (Farewell Messages) smoking cessation campaign in 2014, which used emotional messaging and whose content was particularly upsetting, suggested a negative association between exposure to the campaign's content and confidence in quitting smoking, although no significant association was found after adjusting for confounding factors²². According to the literature, it is important to develop messages that reinforce the perceived self-efficacy, especially when it comes to campaigns invoking people's fears²⁷.

A previous study exploring the impact of the *Mois sans tabac* 2016 campaign, and conducted in 2018, showed that among smokers who tried to quit in the final quarter of 2016, whether or not the QA was in connection with the intervention, 6–10% reported being abstinent for at least one year²⁸. This is consistent with literature reviews on other interventions which estimated abstinence rates longer than 6 months of 3–5% in the absence of treatment^{16,29,30}. In the present study, the use of cessation help services was reported by approximately one in two smokers who tried to quit smoking during *Mois sans tabac* 2016²⁴. Furthermore, these long-term abstinence rates did not differ depending on whether the QA in the final quarter of 2016 was attributed to

the campaign or not. An evaluation of the *Tips from Former Smokers* (Tips) campaign conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also showed that the QA success rate among smokers receiving support from a dedicated telephone quitting helpline, was independent from exposure to the Tips campaign³¹.

In France, after a decade of relative stability, the prevalence of daily smoking in the adult population fell sharply between 2016 and 2017, from 29.4 to 26.9%. This unprecedented drop continued in 2018 (25.4%) and $2019 (24.0\%)^{32,33}$. This evolution was the result of several stringent tobacco control measures, some of which were included in France's 2014-2019 National Tobacco Reduction Program (PNRT)³⁴ as follows: 1) an expansion of the list of professionals who can prescribe nicotine substitute treatments, and an increase in reimbursements by the national healthcare system up to 150 euros per year per person for these treatments, both in 2016³⁵, with the latter gaining full reimbursement status like other medicines under France's Health Insurance system in 2018, i.e. without upper limit and with the possibility for the patient not to advance the costs³⁶; 2) the implementation of standardized plain packaging in January 2017; and 3) the gradual increase in taxation of tobacco products from 2017 (February 2017 for roll-your-own tobacco and November 2017 for cigarette packs), with the objective that the best-selling packet of 20 cigarettes would cost 10 euros in 2020. At the time of the Mois sans tabac 2016 campaign, only a first change in reimbursement of nicotine substitute treatments was fully effective. Thus, the results of the present study on quit attempts made by smokers in the last quarter of 2016 are likely attributable to the campaign itself.

Strengths and limitations

The present study has several limitations. First of all, a causal relationship between exposure to *Mois sans tabac* and the occurrence of a quit attempt cannot be demonstrated from this type of observational (non-experimental) design, since it is not possible to control for all systematic or policy implementation factors that could affect both exposure and the outcome itself. This is a common issue in studies that seek to evaluate the effects of communication campaigns^{37,38}. Thus, the association measured here might be overestimated, as smokers who were more motivated

about stopping smoking or who were attempting to quit may be more likely to notice campaigns that promote smoking cessation. However, in sensitivity analysis on respondents who were still daily smokers at the time of interview in 2017, controlling for importance of quitting and self-confidence in quitting, importance of quitting was not related with selfreported exposure to the campaign and the association between exposure and quit attempt remained. Besides, Mois sans tabac campaign benefited from high visibility since 74% of French people aged ≥15 years had heard about it in 2016³⁹. The intervention was noteworthy due to the use of mass media as well as a new social marketing approach (branding, call to behavior change, promotion of cessation services etc.) on this topic in France, which could attenuate such recall bias10. Additionally, the use of crosssectional retrospective data did not allow assessment of the time order of exposure and outcomes, which is necessary to demonstrate a causal relationship. More generally, memory bias is a possibility, due to the retrospective nature of the study, in particular for individuals interviewed at the end of the survey field (July 2017, i.e. 8 months after the end of the Mois sans tabac intervention). Nevertheless, we performed a comparative analysis of self-reported OA rates according to the date of interview and found that the level of memory bias was rather low²⁴. Selfreported measure of campaign exposure can lead to false positives, however the utility of confirmed recall compared with aided recall is guestioned and a study showed that both recall variables were valid measures of campaign exposure in public health communication evaluations⁴⁰. Finally, most of the analyses were not adjusted for tobacco dependence, as the questions making it possible to characterize dependence could only be put to smokers in 2017, not ex-smokers.

Our study also has several strengths, the first being that it is based on a large representative sample of the population residing in mainland France. The participation rate (48.5%) is of the same order as that observed in main health behavior surveillance surveys such as the US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey (BRFSS)⁴¹, and post-stratification weighting was used to correct for main sociodemographic variables on the French population structure. Second, the fact that the whole of the final quarter of 2016 was considered allows to partly

control for any 'windfall' effect, whereby smokers who would have made a QA in October or December in the absence of *Mois sans tabac* decided to switch the month of their attempt to November.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study's results suggest the effectiveness of the first edition of the *Mois sans tabac* campaign on quit attempts. Those results are similar to those observed in the evaluation of the first edition of the British *Stoptober* campaign, based on a different design that was monthly cross-sectional surveys on quit attempts⁹. Finally, this study provides an overall view of quit attempts made by smokers during the *Mois sans tabac* social marketing intervention in 2016, irrespective of the modalities they chose to achieve it, i.e. whether or not they had chosen to be accompanied, to register online for the operation, or to use treatments to help them quit smoking.

REFERENCES

- GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1923-1994. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32225-6
- World Health Organization. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. World Health Organization; 2003. Accessed January 22, 2021. http://apps.who.int/ iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42811/9241591013. pdf;jsessionid=C69908F19A079F517FADB59D0 CF2C7A6?sequence=1
- 3. Allen JA, Duke JC, Davis KC, Kim AE, Nonnemaker JM, Farrelly MC. Using mass media campaigns to reduce youth tobacco use: a review. Am J Health Promot. 2015;30(2):e71-e82. doi:10.4278/ajhp.130510-LIT-237
- 4. Durkin S, Brennan E, Wakefield M. Mass media campaigns to promote smoking cessation among adults: an integrative review. Tob Control. 2012;21(2):127-138. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050345
- Wakefield MA, Durkin S, Spittal MJ, et al. Impact of tobacco control policies and mass media campaigns on monthly adult smoking prevalence. Am J Public Health. 2008;98(8):1443-1450. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.128991
- Wakefield MA, Loken B, Hornik RC. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. Lancet. 2010;376(9748):1261-1271. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60809-4
- 7. Siegel M, Biener L. The impact of an antismoking media campaign on progression to established smoking: results of a longitudinal youth study. Am J Public Health.

- 2000;90(3):380-386. doi:10.2105/ajph.90.3.380
- 8. Bala MM, Strzeszynski L, Topor-Madry R. Mass media interventions for smoking cessation in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;11(11):CD004704. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004704.pub4
- Brown J, Kotz D, Michie S, Stapleton J, Walmsley M, West R. How effective and cost-effective was the national mass media smoking cessation campaign 'Stoptober'?. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2014;135(100):52-58. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.11.003
- 10. Gallopel-Morvan K, Smadja O, Mercier A, et al. 'Moi(s) sans tabac': The first collective challenge for smoking cessation launched by Santé publique France. In: Hastings G, Domegan C, eds. Social Marketing: Rebels with a cause. 3rd ed. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group; 2017:444-452.
- Richardson S, Langley T, Szatkowski L, et al. How does the emotive content of televised anti-smoking mass media campaigns influence monthly calls to the NHS Stop Smoking helpline in England? Prev Med. 2014;69:43-48. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.08.030
- 12. Kotz D, Stapleton JA, Owen L, West R. How cost-effective is 'No Smoking Day'? Tob Control. 2011;20(4):302-304. doi:10.1136/tc.2009.034397
- 13. Goldman LK, Glantz SA. Evaluation of antismoking advertising campaigns. JAMA. 1998;279(10):772-777. doi:10.1001/jama.279.10.772
- 14. Atusingwize E, Lewis S, Langley T. Economic evaluations of tobacco control mass media campaigns: a systematic review. Tob Control. 2015;24(4):320-327. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051579
- Berkowitz JM, Huhman M, Heitzler CD, Potter LD, Nolin MJ, Banspach SW. Overview of formative, process, and outcome evaluation methods used in the VERB campaign. Am J Prev Med. 2008;34(6 Suppl):S222-S229. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2008.03.008
- 16. West R, Stapleton J. Clinical and public health significance of treatments to aid smoking cessation. Eur Respir Rev. 2008;17(110):199-204. doi:10.1183/09059180.00011005
- 17. Andler R, Guignard R, Spilka S, et al. Smoking and vaping in France. Consommation de tabac et usage de cigarette électronique en France. Rev Mal Respir. 2018;35(6):673-685. doi:10.1016/j.rmr.2018.01.008
- 18. Djian A, Guignard R, Gallopel-Morvan K, et al. From "Stoptober" To "Moi(S) Sans Tabac": how to import a social marketing campaign. J Soc Mark. 2019;9(4):345-356. doi:10.1108/jsocm-07-2018-0068
- Richard JB, Andler R, Gautier A, Guignard R, Léon C, Beck F. Effects of using an overlapping dual-frame design on estimates of health behaviors: a French general population telephone survey. J Surv Stat Methodol. 2017;5:254-274. doi:10.1093/jssam/smw028
- 20. Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Rickert W, Robinson J. Measuring the heaviness of smoking: using self-

- reported time to the first cigarette of the day and number of cigarettes smoked per day. Br J Addict. 1989;84(7):791-799. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.1989.tb03059.x
- 21. Guignard R, Wilquin JL, Richard JB, Beck F. Tobacco smoking surveillance: is quota sampling an efficient tool for monitoring national trends? A comparison with a random cross-sectional survey. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e78372. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078372
- 22. Guignard R, Gallopel-Morvan K, Mons U, Hummel K, Nguyen-Thanh V. Impact of a negative emotional antitobacco mass media campaign on French smokers: a longitudinal study. Tob Control. 2018;27(6):670-676. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2017-053936
- 23. Casagrande JT, Pike MC. An improved approximate formula for calculating sample sizes for comparing two binomial distributions. Biometrics. 1978;34(3):483-486. doi:10.2307/2530613
- 24. Guignard R, Richard JB, Pasquereau A, et al. Quit attempts in the last quarter of 2016 and relation with *Mois sans tabac*: First results from the 2017 Health Barometer. Tentatives d'arrêt du tabac au dernier trimestre 2016 et lien avec *Mois sans tabac*: premiers résultats observés dans le Baromètre santé 2017. Bull Epidemiol Hebd (Paris). 2018;(14-15):298-303. Accessed January 22, 2021. http://beh.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2018/14-15/2018_14-15_6.html
- 25. Wakefield MA, Spittal MJ, Yong HH, Durkin SJ, Borland R. Effects of mass media campaign exposure intensity and durability on quit attempts in a population-based cohort study. Health Educ Res. 2011;26(6):988-997. doi:10.1093/her/cyr054
- 26. Kotz D, West R. Explaining the social gradient in smoking cessation: it's not in the trying, but in the succeeding. Tob Control. 2009;18(1):43-46. doi:10.1136/tc.2008.025981
- 27. Tannenbaum MB, Hepler J, Zimmerman RS, et al. Appealing to fear: A meta-analysis of fear appeal effectiveness and theories. Psychol Bull. 2015;141(6):1178-1204. doi:10.1037/a0039729
- 28. Guignard R, Andler R, Richard JB, Pasquereau A, Quatremère G, Nguyen-Thanh V. Efficacité de *Mois sans tabac* 2016 et suivi à 1 an des individus ayant fait une tentative d'arrêt, à partir du Baromètre de Santé Publique France 2017. Santé Publique France; 2019.
- 29. Hughes JR, Keely J, Naud S. Shape of the relapse curve and long-term abstinence among untreated smokers. Addiction. 2004;99(1):29-38. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00540.x
- 30. Haute Autorité de Santé. Arrêt de la consommation de tabac : du dépistage individuel au maintien de l'abstinence en premier recours. Recommandation de Bonne Pratique. Haute Autorité de Santé; 2013.
- 31. Vickerman KA, Zhang L, Malarcher A, Mowery P, Nash C. Cessation Outcomes Among Quitline Callers in Three States During a National Tobacco Education Campaign. Prev Chronic Dis. 2015;12:E110. doi:10.5888/pcd12.150024

- 32. Pasquereau A, Andler R, Guignard R, et al. Tobacco consumption in France: preliminary results from the 2017 Health Barometer. La consommation de tabac en France: premiers résultats du Baromètre santé 2017. Bull Epidemiol Hebd (Paris). 2018;(14-15):265-273. Accessed January 22, 2021. http://beh.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2018/14-15/2018_14-15_1.html
- 33. Pasquereau A, Andler R, Arwidson P, Guignard R, Nguyen-Thanh V. Tobacco use among adults: Five-year review of the National Tobacco Control Programme, 2014-2019. Consommation de tabac parmi les adultes : bilan de cinq années de programme national contre le tabagisme, 2014-2019. Bull Epidemiol Hebd (Paris). 2020;(14):273-281. Accessed January 22, 2021. http://beh.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2020/14/2020_14_1. html
- 34. Ministère des Affaires Sociales, de la Santé et des Droits des Femmes. Programme national de réduction du tabagisme 2014-2019. Ministère des Affaires Sociales, de la Santé et des Droits des Femmes; 2015.
- 35. République Française. Loi n°2016-41 du 26 janvier 2016 de modernisation de notre système de santé. Journal Officiel de la République Française; 2016.
- 36. République Française. Arrêté du 9 mai 2018 modifiant la liste des spécialités pharmaceutiques remboursables aux assurés sociaux. Journal Officiel de la République Française; 2018.
- 37. Yanovitzky I, Zanutto E, Hornik R. Estimating causal effects of public health education campaigns using propensity score methodology. Eval Program Plann. 2005;28(2):209-220. doi:10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2005.01.004
- 38. Hutchinson PL, Meekers D. Estimating causal effects from family planning health communication campaigns using panel data: the "your health, your wealth" campaign in Egypt. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e46138. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046138
- 39. Guignard R, Andler R, Pasquereau A, et al. Contributions and Limits of Post-Tests to Assess Media Campaigns: The Example of *Mois sans tabac*. Apports et Limites des Post-Tests pour Évaluer les Campagnes Média: L'exemple de *Mois sans tabac*. Bull Epidemiol Hebd (Paris). 2018;14-15:304-309.
- Niederdeppe J. Conceptual, Empirical, and Practical Issues in Developing Valid Measures of Public Communication Campaign Exposure. Commun Methods Meas. 2014;8(2):138-161. doi:10.1080/19312458.2014.903391
- 41. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. 2019 Summary Data Quality Report. July 16, 2020. Accessed January 22, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/2019/pdf/2019-sdqr-508.pdf

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks to Arnaud Gautier (Santé publique France, the National Public Health Agency, Saint-Maurice, France) for his advice on the study analyses. Our thanks also to Jude Sweeney (Milan, Italy) for the English editing and revision of this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Santé publique France, the French National Public Health Agency, which employs RG, RA, JBR, AP, GQ, PA and VNT.

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND INFORMED CONSENT

The present study used existing data from the Health Barometer survey, a large annual random phone survey on health behaviors and perceptions of people living in France set up by Santé publique France. The present study and analyses are based on data from the 2017 edition, which collected data on multiple topics including substance use. Thus, no ethical approval and informed consent were required.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting this research is available from the authors on reasonable request.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.